	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/622,648	TAKAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Courtney Thomas	2882
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>07/21/03</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-15</u> .		
3. A The drawings filed on 21 July 2003 are accepted by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <ul> <li>a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
ldentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<del>-</del>	Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6.  ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da	te
<ul> <li>3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 12/04/03</li> <li>4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>		ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/622,648

Art Unit: 2882

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-15 are allowed.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

3. As per claim 1 and dependent claims 4, 6 and 8, the examiner found no reference in

the prior art that disclosed or made obvious a CT imaging method comprising the steps of: a)

determining a positional relation between a reference coordinate system of an object and a

coordinate system of a CT apparatus and b) imaging the object by the CT apparatus and based on

the positional relation, producing bit-map data of a desired portion of the object as recited in

independent claim 1.

4. As per claim 2 and dependent claims 3, 5, 7 and 9, the examiner found no reference in

the prior art that disclosed or made obvious a CT imaging method comprising: a) a coordinate

system determination process to determine a positional relation between a reference coordinate

system of an object and a coordinate system of a CT apparatus, based on geometric features of

contact surfaces and b) a real imaging process to image the object by the CT apparatus and,

based on the positional relation, produce tomographic images of a desired portion of the object as

recited in independent claim 2.

5. As per claim 10 and dependent claims 11, 12 and 13, the examiner found no reference

in the prior art that disclosed or made obvious a CT apparatus comprising: a signal processor to

process a detection signal from a detector to produce tomographic images of an object; wherein

the signal processor has: a coordinate system determination means to, based on geometric

Page 2

features of contact surfaces, determine a positional relation between a reference coordinate

Page 3

system of the object and a coordinate system of a turntable, as recited in independent claim 10.

6. As per claim 14 and dependent claim 15, the examiner found no reference in the prior

art that disclosed or made obvious a CT imaging service method comprising: a) a step of

determining a positional relation between a reference coordinate system of an object and a

coordinate system of a CT apparatus based on geometric features of contact surfaces; b) a step of

imaging the object with the CT apparatus to produce tomographic images of a desired portion of

the object based on the positional relation; and c) a delivery process to provide a customer with

the object and the tomographic images of the desired portion as recite din independent claim 14.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. GB 2,174,880 A to Parish et al. discloses an apparatus for obtaining a sectional view

of an object.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Courtney Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2496.

The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am - 5 pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,648 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272 2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Courtney Thomas CT Examiner Art Unit 2882

> DAVID V. BRUCE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dav Mune